

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/710,902	08/11/2004		Bernardino A. Hernandez	B-6109	7958	
23636	7590	03/25/2005		EXAMINER		
DANIEL V.	THOM	PSON	PHILLIPS, CHARLES E			
9330 LBJ FW	/Y.				· ·- · · · · · ·	
SUITE 1185			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX 75243			3751			

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_						
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	r.	5
O		10/710,9	902	HERNANDEZ, B	HERNANDEZ, BERNARDINO A.	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er .	Art Unit		_
			E. Phillips	3751		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on th	ne cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress	
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the following the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply veply received by the Office later than three months aff of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaluation. of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a rep atutory minimum of thirty (will expire SIX (6) MONTH oplication to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered time IS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>07 March 2005</i>	5			
	•	b)⊠ This action is				
3)	Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic	or allowance excep	t for formal matter	•	e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from (
Application	on Papers					
9) 🗆 🧻	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	,	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	- ·	•	• •	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App nents have been re ule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this Nationa	l Stage	
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sur			
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/11/04</u> .			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/710,902

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sowards.

See Fig.1, where the air passageway, conventional to most flush toilets, is seen in communication with standpipe 26. The integral air conduit is seen at 33 in the tank back wall.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sowards, as applied supra, in view of Fernald, Sr.

The latter teaches a tank seal 60. To employ this expedient in Sowards would have been obvious for the reasons advanced by Fernald.

Wilson shows another integral extractor conduit.

Claims 2 and 3 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on March 7, 2005.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number (571) 272-4893.

Phillips/am

March 18, 2005

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner